A New Cellular Automata based Fractional Frequency Reuse Scheme

Osianoh Glenn Aliu, *Member, IEEE*, Mahima Mehta, *Member, IEEE*,

Muhammad Ali Imran *Member, IEEE*, Abhay Karandikar, *Member, IEEE*, and Barry Evans, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—A fundamental challenge in Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) based cellular networks is Inter Cell Interference Coordination (ICIC) and to meet this challenge, various solutions using Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR) have been proposed in the literature. However, most of these schemes are either static in nature, dynamic on a large time scale or require frequent reconfiguration for event driven changes in the environment. The significant operational cost involved can be minimised with the added functionality that Self Organising Networks (SON) brings. In this paper, we propose a solution based on the Centre of Gravity (CoG) of users in each sector. This enables us to have a distributed and adaptive solution for interference coordination. We further enhance our adaptive distributed FFR scheme by employing Cellular Automata (CA) as a step towards achieving an emergent self organised solution. Our proposed scheme achieves a close performance with strict FFR and better performance than SFR in terms of the edge user's sum-rate.

I. INTRODUCTION

ONE of the key challenges in Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) based cellular networks is Inter-Cell Interference (ICI). Various interference management schemes (averaging, avoidance and coordination) have been proposed to mitigate ICI. Coordination of ICI is often adopted due to its improved performance and spectral efficiency compared to interference averaging and avoidance [1]. To achieve Inter-cell Interference Coordination (ICIC), variants of the Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR) scheme in [2] and [3] have been proposed in the literature, which reduce the amount of ICI received by cell edge users and give good performance based on their target performance metrics such as Signal to Interference plus Noise Ratio (SINR), spectral efficiency, outage probability and system throughput. All of these schemes exploit either frequency, power or both to achieve ICIC. However, these schemes do not give due consideration to the fact that in real networks, user distribution is non-uniform as it varies with seasons and the occurrence of major events. This is an important challenge and has also

been identified in [4], where the introduction of liquid radio which combines heterogeneous networks, Coordinated Multi-Point transmission (CoMP) and SON is described to break the rigid architecture of today's network to a flexible, adaptive and intelligent network.

In this paper, we focus on the fact in FFR schemes, modelling a fixed region of cell edge and cell centre in all cells irrespective of user positions is not optimum for a dynamic cellular system. There is thus an opportunity to simultaneously exploit the power, frequency and space (user location) to self organise ICIC. With an accurate knowledge of user positions (which is feasible with smartphones), a more dynamic, adaptive scheme can be developed which adapts to medium and long time scale user position variations. We thus propose a solution that directly correlates the geographical position of users to their available resources (bandwidth and power). Majority of users at the cell borders have their SINR below the desired SINR threshold and are thus referred to as cell edge users while other users above this threshold (usually closer to the serving eNodeB) are referred to as cell centre users.

In general, any resource allocation procedure has two steps: first, the allocation of resources to the geographical regions or cells and second, the allocation of resources to the users in that region or cell. Our focus in this paper is on the first step in the resource allocation.

A novel Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR) scheme based on cellular automata for intercell interference coordination is presented. To achieve this, we characterise the user distribution in each sector by its Centre of Gravity (CoG). This helps to classify each sector in different configuration states. Next, we employ an evolutionary algorithm called *cellular automata*, to demonstrate its self organising functionality in the wireless cellular networks. In this paper, we compare the performance of various FFR schemes showing how system performance varies with the classification of users in cell edge or cell centre region. This classification, we show later, is based on the ratio of radius of cell centre region to the radius of cell sector. We further present a distributed and adaptive FFR scheme that is dependent on the user distribution in each sector of a cell site. The regions of cell edge and cell centre are not fixed across the entire network or a particular site but vary on a medium time scale (seasonal change in user distribution) in each sector. Thus, based on the user distribution, the system can autonomously adapt the region of cell edge and cell centre and thus the resource allocation to users. This adaptive scheme provides a significant improvement in system performance.

Copyright (c) 2013 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Manuscript submitted May 2012, revised September 2012, December 2012, February 2013, May 2013, January and April 2014; accepted May 2014.

O. G. Aliu, M. A. Imran and B. Evans are affiliated the Centre for Communication and System Research (CCSR) at University of Surrey, Guildford, United Kingdom. (e-mail: o.aliu, m.imran, b.evans@surrey.ac.uk).

M. Mehta and A. Karandikar are with Information Networks Lab, Electrical Engineering Department, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India. (email: mahima, karandi@ee.iitb.ac.in).

A. Emergent Patterns in Cellular Networks

The concept of emergence is an integral part of self organising systems in nature. Emergence can be understood as resultant behaviour at a macro-level based on interactions of a systems' constituent parts at a micro-level [5].

In the specific context of self organisation in wireless cellular networks, various definitions, design principles and methodologies have been outlined in [6]. One interesting finding is how self organised systems in nature follow simple rules that result in an emergent pattern. Dynamical systems with an emergent pattern have a global behaviour due to interactions among local neighbours. These global patterns can neither be traced back taking the individual components in isolation nor can the process be easily modelled analytically due to their increased statistical complexity. Important characteristics of self organised systems include systems adaptability, autonomy, scalability and stability. In designing such self organised systems, any emergent patterns that result from localised interactions among the system components should also be adaptive to variations of its operating environment.

In this paper, we apply cellular automata theory, which is an efficient method in modeling biological complex systems, to combine adaptive emergent patterns as a first step in achieving a self organised system by modelling an interference coordination scheme among neighbouring cells. The key concept here is that the power allocation for cell edge and cell centre users is not only dependent on the user distribution in a sector but also on the cell edge area, power allocation and user distribution of neighbouring sectors. We have interestingly discovered from our results that using simple localised rules among a defined neighbourhood results in an emergent pattern that meets the desired system objective.

B. A New Cellular Automata based FFR Scheme

For a cloverleaf cellular system model [7], each sector has two regions, an inner region close to the serving eNodeB referred to as cell centre and the remaining outer region referred to as cell edge. These regions can be varied and we first show that the ratio of cell edge area to cell centre area influences the system performance. This ratio is one of the major factors that determines the power amplification factor for cell edge users in Soft Frequency Reuse (SFR). We also vary the ratio of power transmitted to cell edge and cell centre users in accordance with the variation in user distribution among neighbouring sectors. We are able to provide an analysis of the relationship between ratio of cell edge area to cell centre area and power amplification factor.

Our major contribution is in proposing an adaptive and autonomous FFR scheme by applying cellular automata theory whose motivation is from nature where self organisation can result as an emergent pattern. This is based on applying simple rules in a defined local neighbourhood which we also apply for ICIC via FFR.

C. Paper Outline

The rest of this paper is organised as follows: In Section II, we give an overview of OFDMA-based cellular networks

and then, provide fundamentals of frequency reuse schemes deployed in such networks. We expand on interference analysis in FFR schemes and on resource sharing between cell edge and cell centre users. In Section III, we introduce Cellular Automata (CA) theory providing fundamental definitions and properties. We also mention previous attempts in the literature aimed at applying CA in wireless cellular networks. Section IV describes our system model and we formulate our problem based on determining an optimum resource allocation characteristic for each individual cell with the objective of applying a more distributed, adaptive and autonomous FFR scheme. Section V describes our proposed solutions based on centre of gravity and an enhancement of this using cellular automata to show an emergent behaviour. We discuss the simulation results obtained in section VI and conclude in section VII with a summary of findings and contributions. We also highlight limitations of the proposed scheme and suggest potential areas for future research.

II. OVERVIEW OF RESOURCE ALLOCATION SCHEMES IN AN OFDMA-BASED CELLULAR NETWORK

An interesting fact that governs cellular system design is that the signal power falls off with distance. It allows frequency resource to be reused at a spatially separated location such that signal power diminishes to the extent that it does not cause any significant interference. The distance at which the frequency resource can be reused is known as the *reuse distance*. This concept of *frequency reuse* [8] helps in increasing the system capacity, while making the system interference-limited. The interference due to frequency reuse is known as *Inter-Cell Interference* (ICI). In this section, we give an overview of an OFDMA based cellular network, the preferred solutions to reduce ICI and the various static and dynamic resource allocation schemes deployed therein. Our emphasis is on determining the resource partitions and transmit power for dynamic reuse schemes. We also illustrate the metrics used for performance evaluation and comparison of the different reuse schemes.

A. OFDMA-based Cellular Network

The ability of Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) to combat frequency selective fading for downlink data transmission justifies its use in current and future cellular networks. OFDM transforms the wideband frequency selective channel into several narrow-band channels, known as *sub-carriers*. It transmits the digital symbols over a group of subcarriers for a user; with certain transmit power and Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS). Due to the narrowband sub-carriers, each transmission undergoes flat fading. This makes the system robust to multipath fading and narrowband interference [8]. In a multi-user environment, each sub-carrier may exhibit different fading characteristics to different users at different time instants. This is due to the time-variant wireless channel and the variation in the user's location. This feature can be advantageous by assigning sub-carriers to those users who can use them in the best possible way at that particular time instant. Such an OFDM-based multiple-access scheme

is known as OFDMA. In OFDMA, a contiguous or non-contiguous set of sub-carriers¹ are allocated to a user for a predetermined time interval. This is known as a Physical Resource Block (PRB) as per the Third Generation Partnership Project Long Term Evolution (3GPP-LTE) specifications [9]. Thus, PRBs have both time and frequency dimension and it is the minimum resource that can be allocated to a user. In addition to PRB allocation, the transmit power and MCS can be varied based on the channel condition at the level of subcarrier group assigned to a user. Thus, OFDMA facilitates a flexible resource planning due to the granularity of the resources available for allocation.

To maximise spectral efficiency, next-generation systems recommend frequency reuse of 1, i.e. each neighbouring cell uses the same resources. In such a case, different users in the neighbouring cells may use the same PRB and if the signals are strong enough, users (in particular, the cell-edge users) are likely to suffer from severe ICI. Various interference management (averaging, avoidance and coordination) schemes have been proposed to combat ICI [3]. Inter-Cell Interference Coordination (ICIC) is often adopted due to its improved performance and spectral efficiency compared to other schemes [7]. To achieve ICIC, different variants of Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR) schemes have been proposed in the literature, which essentially allocates different resources to the interfering areas of neighbouring cells. Such schemes reduce the amount of ICI experienced by the cell edge users. The different variants of FFR schemes are illustrated in the following sub-section.

B. Variants of FFR Schemes

To illustrate and compare the different variants of FFR schemes, we have used the cloverleaf cellular system model in this paper, where each cell site comprises three hexagonal sectors with one eNB (Base Station is known as eNodeB (eNB) in the LTE standard) located at the common vertex of these three sectors. The hexagonal geometry of sectors is used as an approximation for irregular or sometimes circular shape of a cell coverage area. The motivation for cloverleaf model is that it appropriately demarcates the radiation pattern of a cell site utilizing three sector antennas, as shown in Figure 1. We give an overview of the widely used static and dynamic reuse schemes in the following subsections.

1) *Static Reuse Schemes*: Due to the fact that cell edge users are more prone to ICI compared to cell centre users, the cell edge users are usually allocated a distinct frequency resource. The users are classified as cell center or cell edge based on either their geographical location in the cell or their experienced Signal to Interference and Noise Ratio (SINR) from the eNodeB (which is indicative of the ICI they experience) and then, different reuse patterns can be applied. When the resources allocated for cell centre and cell edge users are fixed, the scheme is said to be *Static*. Static ICIC schemes exhibit lower implementation complexity and less overheads. When the fixed resource partitions are integer in

Fig. 1. Defining Cell Sector States

number, it is known as *Integer Frequency Reuse Scheme*. For example, *Frequency Reuse 1* (FR1) is typically deployed in an OFDMA-based cellular network, where all cells in the system are allowed to use the same resources without any restrictions as shown in Figure 2a. All resources are available in all cells, the resource utilisation efficiency is high and gives good performance during low traffic conditions. When the traffic load (i.e. user density) increases, the interference effects cannot be neglected and significant ICI is experienced by the cell edge users.

To alleviate this problem of ICI at the cell edge, a frequency reuse scheme with higher reuse factor (frequency reuse 3) can be deployed. In *Frequency Reuse 3* (FR3), adjacent sectors operate on three different sub-bands, which in total constitute the available number of sub-bands (see Figure 2b). Due to the use of distinct sub-bands in neighbouring cells, the problem of ICI is mitigated to a large extent. However, with this sub-band partitioning, the available number of resources in each sector are reduced to one-third. This penalty in terms of reduced resource utilisation efficiency is paid in order to achieve improved edge user's performance.

With *Fractional Frequency Reuse Schemes*, it is possible to have a trade-off between achieving high resource utilisation efficiency as in FR1 and improved edge user's performance as in FR3. It is clear that resource partitioning is beneficial in improving edge user's performance. However, the cell centre users do not suffer from ICI and therefore, resource partitioning is not a key factor in characterising their performance. *Fractional Frequency Reuse* (FFR) schemes exploit these facts and uses a combination of the two Integer Frequency Reuse schemes mentioned above to achieve this trade-off. The key concept of all FFR schemes is that the cell is geographically divided into two regions: cell-center and cell edge with FR1 deployed for cell centre users and FR3 for the cell edge users.

There are different variants of FFR in the literature. One of the most predominant one is *Strict FFR* (*S-FFR*), in which the cell center region deploys FR1 and the edge region deploys FR3. Thus, cell center users do not share spectrum with the edge users as shown in Figure 2c. This scheme improves the cell edge performance substantially but compromises on the system throughput and resource utilisation due to the availability of only a quarter of the total resources in the cell centre as well as cell edge region.

Another approach to improve the resource utilisation efficiency and system performance is to exploit the two di-

¹It is known as a sub-channel in OFDMA. However, we will not differentiate between the two terms, sub-carrier and sub-channel in this paper.

Fig. 2. Different Reuse Schemes for OFDMA-based Cellular Networks

mensions of resources available: spectrum and power. *Partial Reuse* [10] and *Soft Frequency Reuse (SFR)*² are two such schemes widely reported in literature. They involve power control along with applying different reuse factors to the cell-centre and cell edge. Partial Reuse employs the same resource partitioning strategy as S-FFR, with the only difference being the resources reserved for cell centre users are utilised with a lower power level while a reuse factor of 3 with higher power level is deployed for the cell edge users (Figure 2d).

SFR [3], [11] is one of the FFR schemes which efficiently exploits the power and spectrum resources. It employs the same resource partitioning and power allocation strategy as Partial Reuse scheme however in SFR, all resources are available for the cell centre users if they are unused by the users at cell-edge of the same sector. However, the resources used by cell-centre users are at a lower power level and can also be used by cell edge users in neighbouring cells. This is achieved by employing power control for users that use the same band (low power for users in the centre region and high power for users in the edge region of the neighbouring cell). This is also illustrated in Figure 2e.

2) *Dynamic Reuse Schemes*: All the static reuse schemes implement fixed resource partitioning and therefore hard limits the achievable user throughput. In dynamic reuse schemes, a flexible resource partitioning is performed between the cell centre and cell edge users, which can be based on factors such as the amount of interference power experienced by users and the traffic density. Such schemes have the potential of achieving efficient resource utilisation and improved system throughput.

A dynamic reuse scheme has been proposed in [12] which the authors refer to as ‘softer’ frequency reuse (SerFR). Here, the reuse factor for both cell centre and cell edge users is 1 and a modified proportional fair scheduler is used which gives preference to edge users over cell centre users and also ensures fairness amongst them. It is thus essential for resource management algorithms to adapt to system dynamics while keeping the flexibility of using the entire spectrum resource in every region. The idea is to keep the resource planning adaptive with no inherent constraints from a design perspective.

In general, dynamic resource plans for interference mitigation are proposed in [13] and [14] and tend to perform better than their static counterparts due to the fact that they provide the flexibility of using all the available resources. The generation of soft-FFR patterns in a self-organized manner is featured in [15], [16] where resource allocation (i.e. determining the number of sub-carriers and power assignment) is performed by dynamically adapting to the traffic dynamics for constant bit rate (CBR) and best-effort traffic. They have compared the performance for two cases - with and without eNBs coordination and showed that performance is better with coordination.

Authors in [17] have proposed one variant of dynamic FFR specifically tailored for relay assisted cellular network, which

TABLE I
LIST OF NOTATIONS

Symbol	Description
N_{band}	Total number of PRBs in the system
N_{int}	Number of PRBs used by center users in a sector
N_{ext}	Number of PRBs used by edge users in a sector
P_T	Maximum transmit power budget in the cell
P_{PRB}	Transmit power per PRB
P_c	Transmit Power for cell-center users
P_e^{S-FFR}	Transmit Power for cell-edge users (Strict FFR)
P_e^{SFR}	Transmit Power for cell-edge users (SFR)
η_{SE}	Spectral Efficiency
η_{ASE}	Area Spectral Efficiency
$\mathcal{K}$	Set of all users in the system
$SINR_k$	SINR of user k
A_r	Area of any region r
A_c	Area of cell-centre region
A_e	Area of cell-edge region
A_{sector}	Area of a sector
$\mathcal{R}$	Set of all regions
β_s	Power amplification factor (SFR)
$\mathcal{N}$	Set of all sectors in the system
N	Neighbourhood function of $\mathcal{N}$ sectors
(x_o, y_o)	Coordinates of BS
(x, y)	Coordinates of any point in a sector
$d_k(\mathbf{x})$	distance between k^{th} user coordinates and point $\mathbf{x}$
$CoG(x, y)$	Locus of points from serving BS
d_m	Distance of $CoG(x, y)$ from BS
s	Length of side of a hexagon
r_{int}	Cell radius of inner cell
R_{ext}	Cell radius of outer cell
ζ	Ratio of cell-edge area to cell-centre area
ψ	Localized Rule

performs an intelligent allocation of resources such that no two neighbouring edge regions are allocated the same channels. Such a scheme based on interfering neighbour set gives improved edge user's throughput and area spectral efficiency compared to all other variants of reuse schemes. However, in the case of non-uniform traffic density, the resource allocation policy does not perform very well. Thus, we observe that no particular reuse policy works for all possible scenarios. If a policy is optimal for a given scenario and improves one performance metric, then it compromises on other metrics. Moreover, the variation in user traffic density affects the performance of the reuse policy, which needs to be taken into account.

An illustration of determining the transmit power and interference calculation for the different reuse schemes is given in the next sub-section.

C. Resource Partitions and Transmit Power Levels for Dynamic Reuse Schemes

The maximum transmit power available in the cell is influenced by the reuse scheme because different fractions of resources are available for cell centre and cell edge regions of the cell for different reuse schemes. We illustrate the concept further as follows; let

P_T be the maximum transmit power budget in the cell.

P_{PRB} be the transmit power per PRB.

N_{band} be the total number of PRBs available in the system.

N_{int} be the number of PRBs used by center users in a sector

N_{ext} be the number of PRBs used by edge users in a sector

²Throughout this paper, our reference to Soft Frequency Reuse (SFR) is in accordance to the original scheme proposed in [3], [11]

Assuming equal power allocation, the transmit power per PRB for Frequency Reuse 1 (FR1) in each sector will be

$$P_{PRB} = \frac{P_T}{N_{band}} \quad (1)$$

For Strict FFR (S-FFR), the number of PRBs available in the cell centre and cell edge region will depend on the ratio of cell centre area to cell edge area [18]. Let s_{int} represent the radius of the cell centre region and s_{ext} , the radius of entire sector. For cell centre users,

$$N_{int} = N_{band} \times (s_{int}/s_{ext})^2 \quad (2)$$

and resources for cell edge users will thus be

$$N_{ext} = (N_{band} - N_{int})/3 \quad (3)$$

The factor 3 is due to the minimum number of non-overlapping sector edges. This is synonymous to the chromatic index in graph colouring [19].

The power transmitted to cell centre users and cell edge users will be,

$$P_c = P_{PRB} \times N_{int} \quad (4)$$

$$P_e^{(S-FFR)} = P_{PRB} \times N_{ext} \quad (5)$$

In Soft Frequency Reuse (SFR), the calculation of N_{ext} changes as the users in the cell edge area have their received power boosted by the power amplification factor β_s . The amount of PRBs used in cell edge is not dependent on that used in cell centre as was in the case of Strict FFR. Cell centre users can use maximum number of PRBs available irrespective of the allocation to cell edge users. Thus N_{int} can be obtained using Equation (2), while the number of PRBs available to cell edge users is,

$$N_{ext} = \max[N_{band}/3, N_{band} - N_{int}] \quad (6)$$

The power transmitted to cell centre users will be the same as in the case of S-FFR given in Equation (4) and the power transmitted to cell edge users will be,

$$P_e^{(SFR)} = \beta_s \times P_{PRB} \times N_{ext} \quad (7)$$

D. System Performance Metrics

1) *SINR and Sum-Rate*: The SINR performance of users gives a good indication of their received signal strength and the amount of interference. Other metrics to characterise system performance are also usually a function of SINR. One such metric is the sum-rate which represents the available rate achieved by all users. In results presented later in Section VI, we show the sum-rate of cell edge users for different frequency reuse schemes as well as the total system sum-rate. However, a tradeoff is expected between achieving a high sum-rate and maximum resource utilisation.

2) *Outage Probability*: We also consider outage probability as one of the performance metrics in our analysis. We consider a user to be in outage if it experiences SINR below a predefined threshold.

$$Prob(outage) = Prob(SINR < SINR_{threshold}). \quad (8)$$

As the cell edge users are more prone to ICI, they are likely to experience low SINR and hence remain in outage. The outage probability comparison for cell edge users is therefore significant when comparing different reuse schemes.

Outage probability is expected to be higher in systems using frequency reuse of 1 compared to systems employing fractional frequency reuse schemes. In SFR, as the power allocated to edge users is increased, the lower the probability of users having their SINR below the required threshold.

3) *Area Spectral Efficiency (ASE)*: The spectral efficiency is measured as the maximum achievable throughput (bits per sec.) per unit of bandwidth. Its unit is bits/sec/Hz and is evaluated as

$$\eta_{SE} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} B_k \log_2(1 + SINR_k) \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the set of users in the system, B is the total system bandwidth, B_k is the bandwidth of the PRBs used by each user and $SINR_k$ is the SINR of user k .

As compared to spectral efficiency, ASE is the measured throughput per Hertz per unit area for a given cell resource [20]. This gives a practical representation of the improvement in capacity achieved relative to cell size (and reuse distance) with available resources. This is one of the significant performance metrics used to compare different frequency planning schemes which greatly impacts cellular system design. This determines achievable system throughput per unit frequency per unit area (*bits/sec/Hz/km²*). It is computed as

$$\eta_{ASE} = \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{\frac{1}{B} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} B_k \log_2(1 + SINR_k)}{A_r} \quad (10)$$

$\mathcal{R}$ is the set of all non-overlapping regions and A_r is area of any region r .

III. CELLULAR AUTOMATA

Cellular Automata (CA) is a *new kind of science* that can be used to model complex dynamic systems [21]. They are large decentralised systems made up of simple identical components with defined localised neighbour relations. The state of individual simple components (usually referred to as cells) changes synchronously and are triggered by state updates in neighbouring cells. These updates are based on local rules and previous states of neighbours. CA are suitable for modelling autonomous systems, as the fundamental concepts use inspiration from complex biological systems. These natural systems are autonomous and made up of large numbers of small cells. The basic idea is that a system that needs to be automated is modelled as an aggregation of a large number of small cells. Each cell follows simple rules and updates its individual states based on its current state and that of the neighbouring cells [21]. Detailed studies on modelling

dynamic systems using CA can be found in [22]. Emergence and self organised systems in nature have similar operational principles to CA. It thus inferred in literature that CA is one of the most extant natural approaches towards designing self organised networks [23].

In applying CA algorithms, a neighbourhood function must be clearly defined. This determines the cell states which affect the future states of the reference cell. Various types of neighbourhoods can be defined but the most common are the Von Neumann, Moore and Hexagonal neighbourhood [22]. We adopt a hexagonal neighbourhood as it is analogous to our system model for wireless cellular communication networks where we consider the coverage of each eNodeB's sector to be hexagonal in shape.

A two dimensional (2D) CA can be represented as a five tuple $(\mathcal{W}, N, \psi, \zeta, t)$ where,

- $\mathcal{W}$ is the lattice 2D cell represented by hexagons at position (x, y) , $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{W}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{N}\}$
- N is the neighbourhood set, a finite subset of $\mathcal{W}$, $N \subset \mathcal{W}, N = \{\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \dots, \mathbf{n}_N\}$.
- ζ is a finite set of configuration states of each cell. $\zeta_i^{t+1} = f(\zeta_{i-N}^t, \dots, \zeta_{i-1}^t, \zeta_i^t, \zeta_{i+1}^t, \dots, \zeta_{i+N}^t)$, where ζ_i^t is the state of cell i in time t .
- ψ is the localised rule that triggers the state transition. The local rule is a function $f : \zeta^N \rightarrow \zeta$ where N is the size of the neighbourhood.
- t is the transition time of a cell moving from its current state to its final state.

The neighbourhood vector N determines the neighbourhood relationship or better described as the neighbour cell list. We give more insights into the neighbourhood relation we use in our proposed solution in Section V. The transition time is important to prevent frequent change of states which may lead to instability and increased system convergence time.

Cellular Automata have many diverse properties but we highlight relevant properties for our work below.

- CA systems are complex systems but consist of a large number of simple objects.
- Evolution of each component is based on interactions with their localised neighbourhood
- They follow simple rules and result in an emergent pattern
- All components operate synchronously in parallel.

In wireless cellular communication systems, it has been established that adaptive and autonomous systems depend on local interactions with their neighbours which results in an emergent pattern. In simulating such large dynamic complex systems, CA is a viable approach.

Some attempts have been made to apply CA in wireless cellular systems in general. In [24] we provide an introduction to cellular automata as a viable tool for self organising solutions in wireless cellular systems, proposing potential uses cases in addressing ICIC and energy efficiency challenges. In [25] a self organised channel assignment scheme using cellular automata theory with distributed control has been presented. Therein authors use a learning automata to adjust the state transition probabilities. The most significant application of CA is the work by Lester et al. in [26] where a cellular

automata based approach towards coverage optimisation has been developed. They describe how each base station updates its Neighbour Cell List (NCL) when a new node is deployed. This is determined by calculating the distance from other nodes and setting its cell size by adjusting its power levels. However, to the best of our knowledge, no one has applied CA to address Intercell interference via FFR for ICIC in OFDMA based cellular networks. We address this problem by first proposing a novel distributed and adaptive fractional frequency reuse scheme which determines the centre of gravity of user distribution in each sector and then apply the CA algorithm for its autonomous reconfiguration.

IV. SYSTEM MODEL

Figure 2a-e show the current frequency reuse and FFR models while Figure 2f shows our proposed model. Consider a sector in Figure 2f, the white block indicates the band being used by central users. It is observed that they have the flexibility of using any part of the complete band but at a low power (shown by the height of the white block). The coloured blocks highlighted by the circle in Figure 2f, indicate the bands used by edge users in the neighbouring cell sites. Note that the central users of a sector do not use those PRBs which are already used by the edge users of the same sector. In the neighbouring cell site, central users can however reuse these PRBs at an acceptable power level (determined based on the user density in cell edge and centre region of that sector).

The power varies for each sector as the area of the edge region (along the space axis) varies. We observe that for a fixed amount of bandwidth in each sector, the amount of transmit power for cell center P_c and cell edge P_e users varies according to the area of concentration of majority of the users. The area of these two regions and their power level varies for every sector in each cell site. We thus seek to first estimate a parameter that uniquely characterises the user distribution in each sector and determine the optimum power allocation to cell edge and cell centre users for both the reference cell site and its neighbouring sites.

Consider a real network where user distribution is non-uniform, the ratio of the radius of cell centre area to radius of cell edge area ζ would vary for each sector depending on the user distribution. In determining the classification of users as either cell edge or cell centre, a given SINR threshold is usually used and users whose SINR is below this value are regarded as cell edge users. However, for easy analysis we can approximate the region where such users would be located with a hexagon as shown in Figure 1. This approximation is based on an SINR surface plot for a trisector antenna. This cell edge region is variable depending on the eNodeBs transmit power and downtilt which invariably affects the user's SINR. The presence of hotspots at various locations further requires reconfiguration in such sectors to meet desired system performance. Figure 3 shows the performance of various frequency reuse schemes as well as soft frequency reuse with different amplification factors β_s . We can infer that having a fixed ratio ζ for all sectors in all cell sites is not optimum. We demonstrate that the cell edge and cell centre region

 Fig. 3. Effect of s_{int}/s_{ext} on various FFR Schemes

would vary for each site and should be dependent on the user distribution, transmit power and configuration of neighbouring sites. We proceed by first determining a central point in each sector which has the shortest distance from the majority of user positions (see Figure 4). We formulate a quadratic subproblem and using the interior point method, locate a unique point referred to as Centre of Gravity (CoG) within each sector. Secondly, we calculate the distance between the CoG and their serving eNodeB. We define three possible states for each sector as State X: $\zeta = 0.3$, State Y: $\zeta = 0.5$ and State Z: $\zeta = 0.8$. Each sector would assume any of these predetermined states depending on the distance of the CoG to the eNodeB location.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the set of all users and $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all sectors in the system for a cloverleaf model with three hexagonal sectors per cell site. Consider a user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ located at the cell edge, with sector $n \in \mathcal{N}$ as its serving sector. Given that the total transmit power budget is P_T , the power transmitted to users in the cell edge area is P_e and to users in the cell centre area as P_c , we have a constraint on power usage in each sector as

$$P_T = P_e + P_c. \quad (11)$$

Given that $P_e = \beta_s P_c$, the maximum transmit power can now be expressed as

$$P_T = \beta_s P_c + P_c \quad (12)$$

$$P_T = P_c(\beta_s + 1) \quad (13)$$

where β_s is the amplification factor of each sector. To ensure P_T is preserved,

$$P_c \leq \frac{P_T}{\beta_s + 1} \quad (14)$$

and similarly,

$$P_e \leq \frac{\beta_s}{\beta_s + 1} P_T. \quad (15)$$

Current solutions in the literature use values of β_s within the range of 1-20 and are usually selected using heuristics [18]. In current systems also, β_s is constant for all sectors.

Fig. 4. Estimating Central Point (CoG) in each Sector

In our formulation however, we let β_s be dependent on the distribution of users in each sector, the ratio of users in cell edge to cell centre (μ) and the value of ζ in the reference sector and its neighbouring sectors. We thus aim to provide a utility function that determines β_s . This is used to determine the amount of power transmitted to users in the edge and centre regions.

Let us characterise the unique distribution of users in each sector by its centre of gravity ($CoG(x, y)$). This is a point $\mathbf{x} = [x, y]^T$ within the sector such that the sum of distance between this point and all user positions is minimum.

The distance is given by

$$d_k(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{(x_k - x)^2 + (y_k - y)^2} \quad (16)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ users in each sector. The objective is to find a unique point $\mathbf{x}$ that minimises the objective function

$$CoG(x, y) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n = \arg \min_{(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{k=1}^K d_k(\mathbf{x}) \quad (17)$$

with inequality constraints described in section V that specify the upper and lower bound of possible values of $\mathbf{x}$. The constraints are expressed as any point within the geometrical coordinates of the hexagonal sector.

V. PROPOSED SOLUTION

Our proposed solution involves two stages, first is to determine the CoG of each sector and its corresponding 'state'. Next is to apply CA theory to obtain a global emergent state for all sectors.

A. Centre of Gravity (CoG):

To define a unique characteristic state for each sector based on its user distribution, we solve Equation (17) via an iterative process. Consider 3 reference vectors ($\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$ and $\mathbf{u}_3$) with the 3 orientations of the hexagon $0^\circ, 60^\circ$ and 120° as shown in Figure 5. The position vector $\mathbf{x}_i$ of any point chosen satisfies the constraints:

$$\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_1 \leq s; \quad \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 \leq s; \quad \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}_3 \leq s; \quad \text{where } \mathbf{u}_1 = u_1 \angle 0, \mathbf{u}_2 = u_1 \angle +\frac{\pi}{3} \text{ and } \mathbf{u}_3 = u_1 \angle +\frac{2\pi}{3}.$$

If $u_1 = 1$, scalar s represents the length of the side of the hexagon and $\mathbf{x}_i$ the position vector of any point within the hexagon. Any random point $\mathbf{x}_i$ can be chosen as our initial

Fig. 5. Reference Vectors

starting point for the iterative solution. The position vector can also be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix} - s \leq 0. \quad (18)$$

We denote the objective function in Equation (17) as $f(\mathbf{x})$ and the inequality constraint in Equation (18) as $g_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ and that they are both continuously differentiable in the whole region of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Equation (17) is a non-linear two dimensional optimisation problem and can thus be solved using an iterative process.

In each iteration k , we linearise the inequality constraints and approximate the Lagrangian function:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) - \lambda^T g_k(\mathbf{x}) \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is our primal variable and λ the Lagrangian multiplier.

We thus form a quadratic subproblem assuming that in each iteration, $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an approximation to the solution, $\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an approximation of the multiplier and $\mathbf{H}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an approximate Hessian of the Lagrangian function.

The quadratic subproblem is thus

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \mathbf{H}_k \boldsymbol{\omega} + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T \boldsymbol{\omega} \quad (20)$$

subject to $\nabla g_k(\mathbf{x})^T \boldsymbol{\omega} + g_k(\mathbf{x}_k) \leq 0$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{H}$ is the Hessian.

Using Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP), we solve Equation (20) by updating the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{H}$ in each iteration to obtain a quadratic programming problem that we solve by using the interior point method [27].

This solution gives us the location of the CoG of the central point of all user positions in each sector. Based on the arguments presented above, point $CoG(x, y)$ can define a locus of points from the serving eNodeB. We can thus estimate the distance of $CoG(x, y)$ from the eNodeB as

$$d_m = \|CoG(x, y) - BS(x_o, y_o)\|_2 \quad (21)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we partition each sector into 3 portions representing 3 states X, Y and Z. Table I shows this classification and depending on the distance of $CG(x, y)$ from the eNodeB d_m , the sector state ζ is chosen.

TABLE II
MAPPING $CoG(x, y)$ TO ζ

$CoG(x, y)$	ζ
$d_m < s$	0.3
$s \leq d_m \leq 1.5s$	0.5
$d_m > 1.5s$	0.8

B. Neighbourhood function and Localised Rule

In the following, we define the neighbourhood function and localised rule used herein.

Neighbourhood function (N): Any two sectors n_1 and n_2 are said to be neighbours iff

$$n_1 \in N(n_2) \iff n_2 \in N(n_1) \quad \forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathcal{W}.$$

This hexagonal neighbourhood relation N , is a set of adjacent sectors of other cell sites with the exception that hexagonal sectors of the same cell site are not regarded as neighbours. This is due to the fact that in an OFDMA based system, we are concerned with mitigating intercell interference only. The sector IDs of neighbouring sectors are stored in the Neighbour Cell List (NCL). In the event a sector hibernates, experiences a fault or has been decommissioned, the NCL is updated via local communication over the X2 interface. Consider Figure 6, sector I has sectors II, III, IV and V in its NCL and the configuration settings of these sectors determine the next state of sector I.

Localised rule (ψ): Given four neighbouring sectors with a set of three finite states ζ_i , the next state of sector n is the least used configuration state among its neighbours. If all states are evenly used, cell n 's state remains unchanged.

In implementing this rule, we first evaluate the modal state among the neighbouring sectors and eliminate it from the set of possible new states. For example in Figure 6, if sector II has state Y, sector III has state X, sector IV has state Y and sector V has state Y. The next state of sector I would be state X which is the least used state among its neighbouring sectors. The localised rule is chosen based on the fact that when a new node joins a network, having a too low power would make it prone to interference from other sectors while a power level too high would cause interference to other sectors. When two or more neighbouring sectors need to change their state at the same time, priority is given to sectors based on their hierarchy in the neighbour cell list. It is reasoned that if majority are on a low, it is tolerable to change state to a high provided at least one neighbour is already operating at that level which shows it is tolerable among its neighbours. It is important that the new state change is limited to a level already experienced by other neighbours. Thus the rule is limited to the least used state among its neighbours.

C. Cell Edge Power Amplification β_s

In Soft frequency reuse, the power amplification factor β_s has to be carefully chosen as it determines the performance of cell edge users as well as the amount of interference to other neighbouring cells. We propose a utility function which determines the amplification factor β_s ; based on the 'state' of each sector, ratio of users located in cell edge to cell centre as well as the current state of neighbouring sectors. The state

Fig. 6. System Layout showing CoG of each Sector

of each sector is dependent on the user distribution which we characterise by its centre of gravity (see Table II). We relate this system state ζ to the power amplification factor β_s which varies for each sector.

Considering each sector represented as an hexagonal shape, the area of the sector is given as

$$A_{sector} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \times s^2 \quad (22)$$

where s = length of a side of hexagon (or half the diameter of the sector). The area of the centre region can be expressed as

$$A_c = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \times (\zeta s)^2 \quad (23)$$

where the factor ζ scales the original hexagonal sector size to the centre region. The area of the edge area is thus given by

$$A_e = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \times s^2(1 - \zeta^2). \quad (24)$$

We can thus obtain the ratio of edge area to centre area as

$$\frac{A_e}{A_c} = \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{\zeta^2}. \quad (25)$$

The number of centre and edge users is directly proportional to the area of centre and edge regions assuming a uniform user distribution. If the user density (the number of users per unit area) is ρ and transmit power per user is P_k , we have

$$\mu = \rho \times P_k \quad (26)$$

Equation (26) simplifies to give μ as the power per unit area. Thus the transmit power to users in the edge region can be expressed as

$$P_e = \mu_e A_e. \quad (27)$$

Similarly, the power transmitted to the centre region is

$$P_c = \mu_c A_c \quad (28)$$

with subscripts c referring to centre and e referring to edge. In SFR, $P_e = \beta_s P_c$. Substituting this in Equation (27) and dividing by Equation (28), we obtain

$$\beta_s = \mu_r \frac{A_e}{A_c} \quad (29)$$

which can also be expressed as

$$\beta_s = \mu_r \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{\zeta^2}. \quad (30)$$

Having obtained this, we can now express the signal to noise plus interference ratio for any cell edge users k as

$$\gamma_{edge} = \frac{\beta_s P_c \times G_k}{N + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}} \beta_s P_c \times G_k + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}} P_c \times G_k} \quad (31)$$

P_c is the transmitted power in sector n , G_k is the channel gain, N is noise power, $\mathcal{F}$ is the set of all sectors transmitting on the same frequency sub-band for cell edge users and $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of sectors using the same sub-band to serve cell centre users.

However, to ensure that our proposed scheme can autonomously adapt to spatiotemporal dynamics of the system, we need to consider the effect of these settings on neighbouring cells in a defined neighbourhood. We thus propose a method that would select an optimum value of ζ based on the $CoG(x, y)$ of its serving sector, ratio of cell edge to cell centre users μ and value of ζ in neighbouring sectors. As the user distribution in a neighbouring site changes, its power allocation for cell edge user also varies. Thus the sector has to adopt a new optimum power setting. This adaptive and autonomous scheme does not cause instability as the changes are restricted to a defined local neighbourhood and changes are triggered from user distribution patterns over a medium time scale usually hours to days [6]. We summarise steps in our proposed solution based on cellular automata.

STEP 1: Based on user distribution and presence of hotspots at cell centre or cell edge, calculate the Centre of Gravity (CoG) for each sector.

STEP 2: Classify each sector into states X, Y, or Z based on the distance of CoG from serving eNodeB using Table II.

STEP 3: Apply CA algorithm to obtain new converged state for each sector and update Neighbour Cell List (NCL) with new sector states.

STEP 4: Classify users as cell edge and cell centre users based on new sector states and determine the power amplification factor β_s for each sector using Equation (30).

STEP 5: Evaluate system performance and if average $SINR$ of each sector is less than $SINR$ threshold, a new state change is triggered going back to step 3.

VI. RESULTS

A system level simulator has been used to validate our proposed scheme. All results presented are for the downlink and the results presented in Figures 3, 7 and 8 are obtained from Monte Carlo simulations. This is repeated for various user positions which are randomly generated and the average value of the performance metric is used. We also validated this scheme for different network sizes, employing a cloverleaf

TABLE III
MAIN SIMULATION PARAMETERS USED

Parameter	Value
Total Bandwidth	5MHz
Inter Site Distance	1500m
eNodeB height	50m
UE height	1.5m
Transmit power	40dBm
Antenna Model	berger
Path loss Model	$L = 128.1 + 37.6 \log D$

model which consists of three hexagonal sectors amalgamated together as one cell site. Three sector antennas were used and simulation performed for various random user distributions and random hot spot locations. Other simulation parameters used are listed in Table III. Results were consistent for 21 and 57 sectors. We present results for discussion for 57 sectors. We use $N_{band} = 48$ in each sector.

Figure 6 shows the system layout and user distribution of 150 users randomly placed in each sector. The centre of gravity of user distribution is marked by blue circles and as can be observed, their locations vary in each sector. Based on the classifications in Table II, and illustration in Figure 2, users located in the centre white region are cell centre users and use any portion of the system bandwidth with a low power restriction. Figure 7 shows the average user sum-rate of the total system when FR1 (frequency reuse of 1 in all regions), Strict FFR, Soft Frequency Reuse (SFR) with optimum power amplification of 12dB and our proposed scheme based on CA. This is obtained by calculating the sum-rate of all users in the system (both edge users and cell centre users) and dividing by the total number of users. Strict FFR is expected to show better performance and avoidance of ICI due to its limitation of frequency allocations to cell regions. This is the classic ICI avoidance scheme and is not spectrally efficient.

Strict FFR as expected shows the best cell edge user sum-rate but has a fundamental trade-off between achieving this improvement and the spectral efficiency. Thus, strict FFR achieves the highest edge user sum-rate but at the expense of having a lower resource utilisation [28], [29]. However, our proposed scheme achieves a close performance with strict FFR and better performance than SFR in terms of the edge users sum-rate. This is also achieved at a better utilisation of resources than strict FFR.

Focussing on the performance of the CA based scheme for cell edge users, Figure 8 reveals an interesting result. As expected, the sum-rate for cell edge users employing frequency reuse of 1 experience larger ICI, thus its low sum-rate for edge users. SFR also shows this effect but due to transmission of a higher power to cell edge users, the interference is minimised. In the CA based scheme, cell edge users maintain a high performance better than SFR and comparable to Strict FFR but with a better spectrum utilisation. We can thus see that CA helps serve as a tradeoff between Strict FFR performance and high spectrum utilisation of SFR.

Figure 9 shows the trade-off between the cell edge sum-rate and the spectral efficiency of the schemes discussed. The objective is to design a scheme whose operating point lies in

Fig. 7. Comparison of Average Sum-Rate of a User Using Various FFR Schemes

Fig. 8. Average Sum-Rate of Cell Edge Users

the upper right half of the solution space (indicated by the arc and arrow). From this plot we can see that the proposed scheme achieves a higher spectral efficiency for a slightly lower performance in terms of sum-rate than strict FFR.

In terms of cell edge sum-rate, strict FFR has a 4.8% better performance than CA scheme. For its spectral efficiency however, CA has an 18.1% better performance than strict FFR with no FFR as the reference. Maintaining good resource utilisation is important as a reduction in resource utilisation can lead to a dip in the peak data rate of the cell. This occurs when users with high rate requirements have restrictions from being allocated with sufficient number of PRBs they may require [18].

Finally, we consider the comparative performance of the proposed CA based scheme with the simple adaptive scheme based on CoG. Figure 10 shows the system performance using the downlink SINR as the performance metric. Two deductions can be made from this result. First is the improved performance of both proposed schemes (CoG and CA) over the SFR scheme proposed in [3] due to the distributed nature of our solution. Second is that with CA based solution, 75% of the users experience higher SINR than CoG scheme. In

Fig. 9. Cell Edge Sum-Rate and Spectral Efficiency Trade-off.

the simple adaptive scheme (CoG), only 25% of the users experience higher SINR than the proposed solution. We can thus conclude that employing cellular automata, an optimal point is reached between improvements in cell edge users performance at an acceptable decrease in performance of cell centre users.

The underlying reason behind the better performance of the CA based approach is its distributed nature where different user locations would have different cell edge and cell centre regions. Thus an optimum power allocation is used in each sector. This reduces the power allocation of sectors based on their effect on neighbouring sectors. The CA scheme dynamically changes its power allocation for different regions thus showing even better performance compared to Strict FFR but with better sub-band utilisation than Strict FFR.

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we have addressed a fundamental problem of OFDMA based cellular networks: i.e Inter-cell Interference. We propose a variant to the conventional FFR scheme that exploits the knowledge of user positions to determine the power ratio between cell edge and centre users in individual sectors of a cell site. This scheme is based on the Centre of Gravity (CoG) of users in each sector. Our distributed and adaptive solution based on FFR was further enhanced by employing cellular automata theory to achieve an emergent and adaptive solution. This is done to ensure that the distributed FFR scheme becomes autonomous via continuous reconfiguration in accordance with the configuration settings of neighbouring sectors.

This proposed FFR scheme not only provides better sum-rate for cell edge users which is comparable to the performance of strict FFR scheme but also achieves this with higher resource utilisation. We also show that our scheme outperforms the well established SFR scheme in terms of its cell edge user sum-rate. Based on the information provided and results presented, we have thus initiated an important contribution on the relevance of emergence in adaptive and autonomous solutions for wireless cellular networks.

Despite the huge potential of applying CA in wireless cellular networks, more research still needs to be done to

Fig. 10. System Performance with Cellular Automata (CA) and without (CoG).

provide analysis of the stability and convergence of this technique. In addition to this, we would investigate applying these principles in heterogenous networks with defined localised rules for indoor base stations and well defined neighborhood for effective interference coordination among macro and femto cells.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was carried out under the India-UK Advanced Technology Centre of Excellence (IU-ATC) for next generation networks and funded by the department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India and UK ESPRC Digital Economy Programme.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Rahman, H. Yanikomeroglu, and W. Wong, "Interference avoidance with dynamic inter-cell coordination for downlink LTE system", in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conf. WCNC 2009*, 2009, pp. 1–6.
- [2] Ericsson 3GPP R1-050764, "Inter-cell interference handling for E-UTRA", in *RAN WG1 v42*, August 2005.
- [3] Huawei 3GPP R1-050841, "Further analysis of soft frequency reuse schemes", November 2005.
- [4] Nokia Siemens Networks, "Liquid Radio", Tech. Rep., Available: <http://www.nokiasiemensnetworks.com/portfolio/liquidnet/liquidradio>, 2011.
- [5] T. Wolf and T. Holvoet, "Emergence versus self-organisation: Different concepts but promising when combined", 2005, pp. 1–15, Springer-Verlag.
- [6] O.G. Aliu, A. Imran, M.A. Imran, and B. Evans, "A survey of self organisation in future cellular networks", *Communications Surveys Tutorials, IEEE*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 336–361, 2013.
- [7] L.C. Wang, "A new cellular architecture based on an interleaved cluster concept", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1809–1818, 1999.
- [8] P. Vishwanath D. Tse, *Fundamentals of Wireless Communication*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [9] 3GPP TR 25.814 v7.1.0, "Physical layer aspects for evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (UTRA) (Release 7)", Tech. Rep., 2006.
- [10] S.E. Elayoubi and B. Foubrestie, "On Frequency Allocation in 3G LTE Systems", in *17th IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*, 2006, pp. 273–277.
- [11] M. Xuehong, A. Maaref, and T. Koon Hoo, "Adaptive soft frequency reuse for inter-cell interference coordination in SC-FDMA based 3GPP LTE uplinks", *Global Telecommunications Conference, IEEE GLOBE-COM*, pp. pp.1,6, Nov. 30 2008-Dec. 4 2008.

- [12] Z. Xun Yong, H. Che, J. Lingge, and X. Jing, "Inter-cell interference coordination based on softer frequency reuse in OFDMA cellular systems", in *Proc. Int Neural Networks and Signal Processing Conf*, 2008, pp. 270–275.
- [13] M.M. Wang and T. Ji, "Dynamic resource allocation for interference management in orthogonal frequency division multiple access cellular communications", *Communications, IET*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 675–682, 16 2010.
- [14] F. Bernardo, R. Agustí, J. Pérez-Romero, and O. Sallent, "A novel framework for dynamic spectrum management in multicell ofdma networks based on reinforcement learning", in *Proc. of the 2009 IEEE conference on Wireless Communications & Networking Conference*, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2009, WCNC'09, pp. 1520–1525, IEEE Press.
- [15] A. L. Stolyar and H. Viswanathan, "Self-organizing dynamic fractional frequency reuse in OFDMA systems", in *Proc. INFOCOM 2008. The 27th Conf. Computer Communications. IEEE*, 2008, pp. 691–699.
- [16] A. L. Stolyar and H. Viswanathan, "Self-organizing dynamic fractional frequency reuse for best-effort traffic through distributed inter-cell coordination", in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM 2009*, 2009, pp. 1287–1295.
- [17] M. Mehta, O.G. Aliu, A. Karandikar, and M.A. Imran, "A self-organized resource allocation using inter-cell interference coordination (ICIC) in relay-assisted cellular networks", *ICTACT Journal On Communication Technology*, vol. 2, pp. 300–313, 2011.
- [18] T. Novlan, J. G. Andrews, Illsoo Sohn, R. K. Ganti, and A. Ghosh, "Comparison of fractional frequency reuse approaches in the OFDMA cellular downlink", in *Proc. IEEE Global Telecommunications Conf. (GLOBECOM 2010)*, 2010, pp. 1–5.
- [19] R. Y. Chang, Zhifeng Tao, Jinyun Zhang, and C.-C. J. Kuo, "A graph approach to dynamic fractional frequency reuse (FFR) in multi-cell OFDMA networks", in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Communications ICC '09*, 2009, pp. 1–6.
- [20] M.-S. Alouini and A. J. Goldsmith, "Area spectral efficiency of cellular mobile radio systems", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1047–1066, 1999.
- [21] S. Wolfram, *A New Kind of Science*, Wolfram Media Inc, 2002.
- [22] P. A. Fishwick, Ed., *Handbook of Dynamic System Modelling*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2007.
- [23] R. O. Cunha, A. P. Silva, A. F. Loreiro, and L. B. Ruiz, "Simulating large wireless sensor networks using cellular automata", in *Proc. 38th Annual Simulation Symp*, 2005, pp. 323–330.
- [24] O.G. Aliu, M. Mehta, M.A. Imran, A. Karandikar, and B. Evans, "A cellular automata approach towards self organisation in wireless cellular networks", in *19th European Wireless Conference, Guildford, UK*, April 2013.
- [25] H. Beigy and M. R. Meybodi, "Cellular learning automata with multiple learning automata in each cell and its applications", *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 54–65, 2010.
- [26] L. T. W. Ho, G. S. Louis, and M. P. Jonathan, "Applying emergent self-organizing behavior for the coordination of 4G networks using complexity metrics", *Bell Labs Technical Journal*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 5–25, 2003.
- [27] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimisation*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [28] T.D. Novlan, R.K. Ganti, A. Ghosh, and J.G. Andrews, "Analytical evaluation of fractional frequency reuse for OFDMA cellular networks", *Wireless Communications, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 4294–4305, december 2011.
- [29] A. Darwish, A.S. Ibrahim, A.H. Badawi, and H. Elgebaly, "Performance improvement of fractional frequency reuse in wimax network", in *Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring), 2011 IEEE 73rd*, may 2011, pp. 1–5.

Osianoh Glenn Aliu received his B.Sc. degree in Electrical Electronics Engineering from University of Lagos, Nigeria, in 2006. He further obtained his M.Sc. in Mobile and Satellite Communications at the University of Surrey in 2009 and his Ph.D. at the Centre for Communication Systems Research (CCSR), University of Surrey, UK in 2012. He was actively involved in the EPSRC funded project: India UK Advanced Technology Centre (IU-ATC) and collaborated with researchers in UK and India. He currently works as a Research Scientist at Fraunhofer FOKUS, Germany; developing Wireless Backhaul (WiBACK) solutions. He is actively involved in EU FP7 and ESA projects in collaboration with industry on integrated mobile and satellite systems. His current research interests include physical layer modelling of wireless networks, self organisation in wireless networks and software defined networks.

Mahima Mehta received her B.E. degree in Electronics and Communication engineering in 2001 from Government Engineering College, Bhopal. She did her M.E. in Electronics and Communication engineering from SGSITS Indore in 2007 and Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from IIT Bombay, Mumbai, India in 2014. She has worked as a Lecturer and then, as an Assistant Professor for about 8 years and has research experience of about 5 years. At present, she is working as a Project Research Scientist at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Bombay, Mumbai, India. She has been actively involved in a DST funded project: India-UK Advanced Technology Centre (IU-ATC) and collaborates with researchers in UK and India. She is a 3GPP RAN2 delegate and her research interests include radio resource and mobility management in LTE heterogeneous cellular network and LTE-WLAN interworking.

Muhammad Ali Imran (M'03, SM'12) received his M.Sc. (Distinction) and Ph.D. degrees from Imperial College London, UK, in 2002 and 2007, respectively. He is currently a Reader in the Centre for Communication Systems Research (CCSR) at the University of Surrey, UK. In this role, he is leading a number of multi-million international research projects encompassing the areas of energy efficiency, fundamental performance limits, sensor networks and self-organising cellular networks. He is also leading the new physical layer work area for 5G innovation centre at Surrey (the 5G innovation centre and an outdoor cellular test bed is being developed at Surrey University with a research grant of above 35m). He has a global collaborative research network spanning both academia and key industrial players in the field of wireless communications. He has supervised 17 successful PhD graduates and published over 150 peer-reviewed research papers including more than 25 IEEE Journals. His research interests include the derivation of information theoretic performance limits, energy efficient design of cellular system and learning/self-organising techniques for optimisation of cellular system operation. He is a senior member of IEEE and a Fellow of Higher Education Academy (FHEA), UK. He has been awarded the 2014 IEEE Communications Society Fred W. Ellersick Prize.

Abhay Karandikar earned his MTech and PhD degrees in Electrical Engineering from the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur in 1988 and 1994, respectively. He joined the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Bombay in April 1997, where he is currently Professor and Head of the Department. He is also the coordinator of Tata Teleservices IIT Bombay Center for Excellence in Telecom where he has initiated many projects in the area of broadband wireless networks. Dr Karandikar has several patents issued/pending, contributions to IEEE standards,

contributed chapters in books and large number of papers in international journals and conferences to his credit. He has also served as technology advisor and consultant to many companies. He has served on the working group of telecom for 12th plan of Government of India. Dr Karandikar is a two time recipient of award for excellence in teaching at IIT Bombay- in 2006 and 2011.

Barry Evans (BSc, PhD, FEng, Ceng, FIET, SMIEEE, FRSA). Until 2009 was Pro Vice Chancellor for Research and Enterprise at the University of Surrey and Director of the Centre for Communication Systems Research which is a 150 strong post graduate Centre. Barry has a personal research background in satellite and mobile communications, researching in speech coding, radio propagation, advanced physical layers and cognitive radio with over 700 research publications and three text books to his name. He now runs a number of research projects in

satellite communications with ESA and industry and leads the Surrey part of the UK-India network of excellence in next generation networks. He is Editor of the International Journal of Satellite Communications, chair of the steering committee of SatNEx-an EU/ESA network of excellence and chairs the RD strategy group of the NETWORLD2020 satellite working. He is a Director of a Surrey spin off company Mulsys Ltd and a Fellow of the Royal Academy of Engineering. Email: b.evans@surrey.ac.uk Telephone: +44 1483 689131